

Paper title: Islam and Biotechnology: With Special Reference to Genetically Modified Foods

Author: Mohd Safian, Yasmin Hanani

Institutional Affiliation: Lecturer, Faculty of Shari`ah and Law, Islamic University College of Malaysia

This paper was prepared for "Science and Religion: Global Perspectives", June 4-8, 2005, in Philadelphia, PA, USA, a program of the Metanexus Institute

Abstract:

This paper attempts to highlight and discover Islamic principles in legitimizing current scientific research and innovations related to Biotechnology. For instance, genetically modified food issues ignite a firestorm of world debate especially in Western countries. GMF issues are debated globally as many claim that these foods have the potential for detrimental impacts on public health and the environment. Some biotech food producers have claimed their intellectual property rights over their food products and seeds for the technology they are licensing to farmers. For some Muslims and other God-conscious people, tampering with nature by implanting genes from one organism into another, which nature has not sanctioned through natural processes, and then claiming legal ownership over such GM products is considered to be intolerable. The 'terminator gene' introduced by GM food companies may lead to the possibility of monopoly and encroachment of the world agro-economics by western seed producing conglomerates. This paper attempts to discover the Islamic perspectives regarding the said issue, the teaching of the Prophet and the Islamic guidelines with regards to biotechnology. The main source of *hukm* (legal rules) in Islam is *al-Qur'an*, *al-hadith* (tradition of the Prophet), consensus and analogy. However, if the problem is not covered textually, the principle of *maslahah* (public interest) may be applied onto the matter. This principle of *maslahah* is based on the principle of avoiding harm and promoting interest. However, there are many guidelines in applying this principle in order to prevent people from clinging on to it tenaciously in order to fulfill their own unlawful desire. Hence, this paper tries to highlight the Islamic constraints in pursuing biotechnology research based on the said Islamic Legal principles.

Biography:

Yasmin Hanani Mohd. Safian currently is a lecturer in The Faculty of Shari`ah and Law, Islamic University College of Malaysia. She holds a B.A (Hons.) in Shari`ah from El-Azhar University Egypt and M.A (in Islamic Studies) from the University of Birmingham. She teaches several courses in Shari`ah such as History of Islamic Law, Islamic Commercial Law and Islamic Criminal Law. She has published articles related to *Usul Fiqh* (The principles of Islamic jurisprudence) and Islamic Business Transactions. Her husband, Amir Shaharuddin is also a lecturer in the same institution teaching Islamic Banking and Total Quality Management.

1.0 Introduction:

Tampering with nature, changing God's creation to create another 'invented' creation and finally claiming legal ownership over such products are some hot issues in biotechnology. The said issues have led to ethical and religious concerns. By using several undisputable primary sources of Islamic Legal Rules (*hukm*) namely *al-Qur'an*, *al-hadith* (the Prophet traditions) and a disputable tool that is the principle of *masalih al-mursalah* (public interest), the writer tries to shed light into the advancement of biotechnology with special reference to the genetically modified foods. Therefore, in order to highlight the Islamic perspective regarding genetically modified foods (GMF), this paper is divided into three parts. The first part discusses the issues that ignite firestorm among public pertaining to food technology. The second part highlights several provisions from *al-Qur'an*, *al-hadith* related to the said matters. Finally, the third part concentrates on the possibility of the application of principle of *masalih al-mursalah* to justify the necessity of changing God's creation in GMF technology and justify the necessity of claiming legal ownership over such foods and products.

In Western countries, GM food issues are debated as hot as *halal* food issues in Muslim countries. The debated issues include the issue of the safety of GM food, the possible ill effects to the environment, changes of ecosystem and ethical issues. According to Brainyencyclopaedia (20/10/04), a genetically modified food is a food product containing some quantity of any genetically modified organism (GMO) as an ingredient. It also used interchangeably with genetically engineered food and genetically altered food. Genetic engineering is the process by which scientists combine the genes of dissimilar and unrelated species or manipulate the genes of existing species to change their characteristics, permanently altering their genetic codes and creating novel organisms. The distinguish feature of GM as highlighted by Prof. E. Ann Clark (2004) is the process of forcible gene insertion. Genetic engineering differs from traditional breeding and allows biotechnology corporations to combine organisms that would never able to combine naturally, such as tomato and fish. Because of the imprecise nature of gene insertion, these crops and foods may pose significant risk to human health and the environment (National Association of State PIRGs As You Sow Foundation. 2003)

In nature, we cannot cross a tomato with a fish as animals and plants have long been separate in evolution. However, there was a laboratory research that produced a "frost-resistant" tomato by splicing into its genetic code a gene that protects a flounder from the cold (The Independent. 12 October 1999). This first transgenic plant is said to have been created in the early 80's when a gene from a bacterium was spliced into a petunia. Subsequently oilseed rape has had a bay tree gene spliced into it, to improve its oil, and a potato has been given a disease-resistant chicken gene.

The first commercially grown genetically modified food crop was a tomato created by Calgene called the *FlavrSavr* (Brainyencyclopaedia. 2004) Calgene submitted it to the U.S Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for testing in 1992; following the FDA's determination that the *FlavrSavr*, in fact, a tomato, did not constitute health hazard, and

did not need to be labeled to indicate it was genetically modified, Calgene released it into the market 1994, where it met with little public comment. Genetically modified plants are already grown extensively particularly in the USA, South America and Canada. At the first stage, some of European countries banned the GM foods into their countries. However, in September 2004, the European Commission has finally permitted the first genetically modified seeds for cultivation and sale across the EU. After several years, we can easily find food contains GM ingredients such as vegetarian cheese and GM tomato puree.

Despite the approval of EU concerning GM seeds recently, the fear of GM food has led to the withdrawal of US Food Aid by some African countries such as Sudan and Angola. The Sudanese government for instance in May 2003 issued a memorandum requiring that all food aid brought to the country must be certified as free from GM ingredients. However, in March 2004 the USAID – the main supplier of aid for the World Food Programme announced that it would cut off food aid supplies to Sudan on the basis that USAID does not issue certificates confirming the GM status of its food aid. Consequently, the USA is accused of manipulating the humanitarian principles of food aid to further its political and economical objectives. African countries are facing dilemma; accepting GM food aid or letting the populations go hungry.

The potential benefits of GM are believed could bring a new dawn in agriculture and food production. GM foods offer a way to quickly improve crop characteristics; draught or the local pests resistance, herbicide tolerance (scope.educ.Washington.edu 30/10/2004), crops that give higher yields; or fish or livestock that grow bigger and feed more people like salmon fish with three times more growth; or GM crops that incorporated vaccines to prevent prevalent diseases (Biotechinfo, 19/10/04). Through new innovation, healthier foods can be produced which incorporate extra nutrients or vitamins.

Biotech conglomerates such as Monsanto¹ claims GM food and GM crops are crucial in order to feed and to provide balanced nutrients to the chronically hungry people especially in the third world countries (Monsanto 29/10/2004). There are more 842 million people across the world are hungry and remain chronically undernourished. Bo Lonnerdal (2003) in his article has contended that the GM technology can combat the lack of deficiencies of iron and zinc. The said biotechnology can improve trace element nutrition of staple foods such as cereal and legumes. He further stresses that this way may be achieved by introduction of genes that code for trace-element binding proteins, over expression of storage proteins already present and/or increased expressions of proteins that are responsible for trace element uptake into plants. However there is still a major concern especially for Muslims when the researchers tend to use human breast milk to

¹ Monsanto is a biotechnology company, founded in 1901. Nowadays, as a leading worldwide provider of technology-based solutions to farmer around the world, it has committed to innovation in plant biotechnology, genomics and breeding to improve productivity and to reduce costs of farming. One of its worldwide used products is the herbicide *Roundup*. The original Monsanto's first plant biotechnology products, *Roundup Ready* soybeans, canola and *Bollgard* cotton, are planted commercially in 1996.

improve the iron content of rice due to the fact that human *lactoferrin* binds specifically to receptors in the human small intestine.

On the other hand, the development of GM crops also brings a great benefit to the environment. The GM technology enhances conservation of soil, water and energy that are three critical and endangered components of the ecosystem. The efficiency in land use can save the rainforest, reduce the pollution emission and save precious habitats from exploitations. Furthermore, the crop yield in the farmland could be tripled and could increase profit margin per acre (Saeed A. Khan, 2003). Meanwhile for crops, the GM technology can reduce the maturation time and reduce reliance on pesticides and herbicides, which damage the environment.

2.0 Issues on GM Food:

2.1 Health issues and food safety:

The safety of GM foods is still in a grey area. Until nowadays, no guarantees as to long term safety of GM foods and we cannot predict how human health will be affected over a long time period. However, the Food Safety Authority of Ireland (FSAI) has subjected GM foods to an extensive range of analytical tests for food safety including chemical analysis, allergenicity tests, evaluation of nutritional composition and others. A report by the scientific council of the American Medical Association also argues that there is no long term-health effects have been detected from the use of transgenic crops and genetically modified food. These two reports conclude that GM foods are “substantially equivalent” to their conventional counterparts.

On the other hand, the alternative argument put forward by anti-GM lobbyists is that GM is still new that the full effects on human health have not yet been tested adequately. This due to the fact that the reports also highlight some risks that may occur from the biotechnology engineering. There may be health risks from allergic reactions to GM food or from the bacteria and viruses used to transfer genes from one organism to another. Someone with a peanut allergy could have a fatal reaction to a plant engineered to carry a peanut protein. Problems could also arise if biotech companies transferred traits from antibiotics into crops. The anti GM groups also view that funding for truly independent research at many university and research faculties and institutes compares unfavorably to the billions of dollars spent by the companies who want to sell GM foods and crops.

However, the other argument that we should bear in our mind is that humans have practiced genetic modification since we first domesticated animals and plants over 8,000 years ago. The alternative argument is that in the past all modification and crossbreeding has happened within nature. Our predecessors never crossed the genes of different species from a plant to an animal or fish. There is also a *hadith* indicating that the Arabs also crossbreed the dates plants. Nowadays people can take a gene that protects the flounder fish from cold, add it to genetic code of a tomato and make transgenic frost resistant tomato.

2.2 Intellectual property rights:

Monsanto introduces a technology called *terminator* into food crops, which produced plants that grew sterile seeds. Monsanto claimed this was necessary to protect their intellectual property rights, since they were licensing the technology to farmers. However, public outcry about the undue influence that the terminator gene would give to Monsanto, particularly in less developed nations where seed saving is more common, led to its withdrawal.

Not only Monsanto, any other GM food producer have already developed seeds that will only produce one yield of crops that will make farmer dependent on such companies. These farmers, especially from developing countries are required to purchase more seeds from foreign companies that will make they lose their autonomy and become dependent farmers. Consequently, the monopoly of the world agro-economics will be on the hand of the GM companies.

The claiming of legal ownership over GM plant has led to ethical concerns and public confusions. Intellectual property rights are in fact temporary rights of exclusive exploitation of an idea and not ownership rights to the product that emerges from it (OECD Observer, 23 October 2004). Many scientists argue that the restraining of biotechnology programmes through the Intellectual Property Right legislation has led many biotech research at hold and they really hope that the ethical based system may have the virtue of open ended and adaptable to new circumstances as knowledge about GM foods improve.

2.3 Ecological Impact:

Apart from the great benefit GM technology could bring, there is still a worry that GM plants could potentially lead to detrimental consequences to the environment as warned by the report of the American Medical Association. One of the greatest fears in regard to GM crops and food is that, once growing in our fields, these plants might pass pollen or seeds to native plants that could then take on the engineered traits. There have been some instances where insects and plants in and around GM crops have shown some damage. For example, the Soil Association in the UK points out that the death rate of lacewing insects was doubled when they were fed on plant eating- larvae raised on GM maize. Likewise, they point to damage to bees feeding of GM rapeseed genetically modified to produce natural insecticide intended to kill only caterpillars and beetles. To counter this potential problem, boundaries are placed around GM crops. Also, the much misunderstood 'terminator genes' has been produced to stop what is called the 'gene flow' or spread genes from plant to plant and into the wild. Research is ongoing into the halting of the 'gene flow'. Plants, which are modified by inserting a gene which renders all seed produced infertile has been much criticized as an attempt to corner the seed market.

During the last decade, more than 40 varieties of transgenic crops have been approved for use in the USA, most of them genetically modified to produce a pesticide called *Bacillus thuringiensis*, or Bt. The Bt toxin attacks pests like the European corn borer, but

laboratory studies suggest it may also be dangerous to the larvae of the monarch butterfly and other butterflies and moths.

2.4 Changing God's creation and tampering with nature:

Apart from environment effects, the GM food producers, farmers and manufacturers are accused of changing natural process and tampering with nature when cattle were changed from herbivores to cannibal carnivores. Previously, during winter when the grass is thin and weak, soybean was used to feed the cattle, as they need protein supplement. However, some farmers have used remnants of dead cattle instead of soybean as to save cost. Consequently, the BSE (*bovine spongiform encephalopathy*) or mad cow disease that attacked the UK nation widely was claimed as the detrimental effect of the step of changing natural process.

Further more, as Saeed A. Khan argues that the positive consequences of GM technology are also counterbalanced with an equally pernicious cost. The danger of overproduction of crops may be irresistible. Although the crops that are resistance to disease and pests have constructive value, the disruption to the balance of the ecosystem is unclear as illustrated in the reports made by American Medical Association.

3.0 Al-Qur'an and Al-hadith views regarding GM foods and products:

3.1 Al-Qur'an and Al-hadith points of views regarding food intake:

As regard to the potential risks associated with GM food, Al-Qur'an has led several rules on food intake. Allah The Almighty said:

“Eat of the things which God hath provided for you, lawful and good: But fear God, in Whom ye believe” (Al-Qur'an. Al-Ma'idah 5: 88).

What we can deduce from this ayat is that Allah orders us not only eating *halal* food, but good food as well. Thus, based from this *ayat* (Quranic verse), we should not only avoid *haram* (illegal) foods and drinks such as non slaughtered animals and liquor, also we should refrain ourselves from taking regularly any foods and drinks that contain chemical substances, preservatives, additives or colouring agent that may harm our bodies. However, there is no scientific evidence that GM foods are harmful to human health. There are many research and experiments conducted that GM foods are as safe as their conventional counterparts. Furthermore, many scientists argue that GM food on our plates have been tested far more thoroughly than any other conventional foods. For instance, a research conducted by Harry Kuiper of the State Institute for Quality Control of Agricultural Products in Wageningen, Netherlands, had shown that GM tomatoes were not harmful to rats, conversely they would have been poisoned by the basic nutrients in the tomato such as potassium (Librarythinkquest. 19 October 2004). As a result all myths that associating GM food and health hazard has yet proven scientifically.

However, for Muslims, God has created and fashioned every living things in the best form and man as a viceroy or *khalifatullah* (al-Qur'an 2:30) is assigned to manage and utilize them for living purposes in the best way and order. As a viceroy, man has been given responsibility on this earth and he is accountable on what he has done before God in the Hereafter. This is the crucial guideline for any human being to live in this world,

utilize the entire natural resources for the sake of living things and ensure the best for nature, as it will become legacy for the future generation. The very well known *hadith* in the *Sahih al Bukhari* is that:

"Everyone of you is a guardian and is responsible for his charges. The ruler who has authority over people, is a guardian and is responsible for them" (Hadith. Al-Bukhari. Kitab al-Nikah: Juz` 81: #16)²

Islam teaches its believer to be kind to nature and do not betray the responsibility and the trust that have been given to them.

As stated earlier, man as a *khalifatullah* has his own responsibilities towards nature. Animals, nature, trees and all creatures in this world are created to assist people living easily and assist them to become good servants of Allah. Everything people do, they have to submit it to God. Therefore, human and nature are two important elements in this world in order to fulfill the prophet's mission that is *tawhidullah*. A prominent Muslim scholar, Seyyed Hossein Nasr in his book, *Man and Nature* (1968) has stressed that the relationship between man and nature should be as the same as the relation between man and wife. Each of them has their own responsibilities towards each other. Unfortunately, for modern man, nature has become like a prostitute- *to be benefited from without any sense of obligation and responsibility towards her*. This domination over nature without rendering it back for the purpose of *`ibadah* (act of worship) to God has led to massive disaster.

3.2 *Al-Qur'an* and *Al-hadith* points of views regarding the issue of changing God's creation:

Islam, at the first stage regards attempt to modify living things a sin as stated in the *Al Qur'an*: "*God did curse him, but he said: "I will take of Your servants a portion marked off, I will mislead them, and I will create in them false desires, I will order them to slit the ears of cattle, and to deface the (fair) nature created by God."* Whoever forsaking God, takes Satan for a friend, hath a surety suffered a loss that is manifest" (*Al-Qur'an*. An-Nisa' 4:119)³

This ayat is a warning from Allah that any means to unnecessary change of the Creation of Allah will make one subject to the curse of Allah and his Prophet. However, if the change falls under the category of essential type (*daruriyyat*), so such a change and modification is permissible. For instance, if the genetic engineering is conducted to prevent from harm i.e to reduce reliance on pesticides and herbicides, which damage the environment, such an experiment is permissible and is in line with the principle of *Shari`ah* that is promoting welfare and preventing from harm (*jalb al-masalih wa dar' al-mafasid*).

Further more, in order to create better livestock and crop, Islam does encourage gene modification that has been used for centuries using natural methods involving organisms of the same species. There is a *hadith* where the Prophet S.A.W approved the action of

²Al-Bukhari. *Sahih Al-Bukhari*. (trans). Muhammad Muhsin Khan. 1984. *The Translation of The Meaning of Sahih Al-Bukhari*. New Delhi: Kitab Bhavan. Vol. 7. p. 82

³ The Quranic translation in this writing is based on `Abdullah Yusuf Ali. 2000. *The Holy Qur'an, Original Arabic Text with English Translation & Selected Commentaries*. Kuala Lumpur: Saba Islamic Media.

crossbreeding of date plant by the Arab in his saying: "You are more knowledgeable in your worldly affairs" (Hadith. Muslim. Kitab Al-Fada'il: Bab 39: #6082). However, according to this *hadith* that was narrated by Anas, at the first stage, when the Prophet saw the Arab was making crossbreeding into the dates plants, he prohibited them by saying that it is better if they let the dates grow naturally. However, when the Arabs let the dates grow naturally as suggested by the Prophet, the yield of the plant was not as satisfactory as before and then the Prophet said: "You are more knowledgeable in your worldly affairs". In the same *hadith*, the prophet mentioned that he was the best person to refer to in the religious matters, nevertheless when it comes into the worldly affairs, he admitted that the people knew better than him. This is evident that Islam and *Shari`ah* do not put strict limitation in worldly affairs provided they are used, managed and utilized for the benefit of the common people and vice versa. That means it is not only for good of certain groups of people. On the other hand, observing the said *hadith*, it is evident that at the first stage the Prophet prefers we preserve and monopolize living things in their natural ways. However, if there is a need to modify them in natural ways such as crossbreeding or what so ever for the good of human life, he did recognize such an action.

Dr. Wahbah Zuhaili in his great masterpiece, *Al-Fiqh Al-Islami wa 'Adillatuh* has further elaborated this *hadith* by saying that any worldly affairs that are not textually covered in the Holy Book of al-Qur'an nor *hadith* is upon the discretion of human being provided it will not violate the principle of Shari`ah that is promoting interests, avoiding hardship and for the good of people. The writer is of the view that the principle of *masalih mursalah* may be applicable here to justify the necessity of changing God's creation in GM technology and to justify the necessity of claiming legal ownership over such foods and products.

4.0 The application of *masalih mursalah* in validating or invalidating GM food technology:

Another tool in Islamic Law that can be applied to justify the validity of GM foods is *masalih mursalah*. Majority of Muslim jurists unanimously agree that *al-Qur'an*, *hadith*, *ijma'* (consensus) and *qiyas* (analogy) are the prime sources of law in Islamic jurisprudence. However, there are disputes among jurists on the usage of any other sources of law and *masalih mursalah* is one of them. The Maliki School of law accepted it as its founder Imam Malik regards "public interest" is a valid source of juridical decision. Other schools of law such as Hanbali and Hanafi also accept this principle of deriving *hukm* (legal rules) as well as the Shafii School of law though the latter school basically discards this principle in its original meaning. This paper is not trying to discuss the disputes among Muslim scholars on this issue extensively rather it is merely highlighting an epigrammatic view on it. The more important point that is highlighted in this section is the guidelines set up by Muslim scholars in adapting the mentioned principle by which we can justify the necessities of genetically modified foods and the issues of intellectual property rights.

4.1 Muslim scholars' point of view regarding the application of *masalih mursalah* in legitimizing worldly affairs:

Many scholars view that its name *masalih* or *maslahah* (interest) comes together with the word *mursalah* (the unrestricted public interest) as it is not mentioned specifically in Qur'an neither in Sunnah (Abdul Karim Zaidan, 1998; Wahbah Zuhaili, 1998). Actually, there are three types of *maslahah*; *maslahah mu`tabarah* (accredited *maslahah*), *maslahah mulghah* (discredited *maslahah*) and *masalih mursalah* (unrestricted public interest). *Maslahah mu`tabarah* refers to interests recognized by *as-shari`* (the law maker) as the purpose of *shari`ah* is to protect five specific interests; to protect the religion (*din*), property (*mal*), life (*nafs*), reason (*`aql*) and lineage (*nasb*). Preserving these interests is vital and is considered as essential in human life. *Maslahah mulghah* refers to any unrecognized *maslahah* by the *shar`i`*. Meaning that certain *hukm* is applied regardless of certain personnel *maslahah* such as the compensation one has to pay when committed unlawful action. In this situation, one's personnel interest will not be taken into consideration for instance in *hudud* cases. *Maslahah mursalah* as mentioned above refers to any interest, which is not mentioned in the *al-Qur'an* or *hadith*. Since these interests have not been covered textually, therefore are considered *mursal* i.e., set loose from such texts. This is the principle that may be adopted to justify the necessities of changing justify the necessities of changing God's creation in GM technology and justify the necessities of claiming legal ownership over such foods and products.

Having discovered the three types of *maslahah*, it seems crucial to define the meaning of *masalih mursalah* as our centre of discussion. *Maslahah* literally means interest, welfare, advantage, wellbeing. Karim Zaidan (1998) defines it as to promote and bring welfare and to avoid and prevent harm (*jalbu al-masalih wa dar' al-mafasid*). Meanwhile, al-Ghazali in his book *Mustasfa* as cited by Wahbah Zuhaili (1998) advocates that *maslahah* does not merely carry this meaning nonetheless the most important thing is to preserve five objectives of the *shari`ah* namely the religion (*din*), property (*mal*), life (*nafs*), reason (*`aql*) and lineage (*nasb*). Meaning that any means to protect any one of the five objectives of *shari`ah* will be deemed as a *maslahah* in Islam.

Other scholars define this principle as public welfare as cited by Abdurrahman I. Doi (1984). This principle together with other principles like *istishab* and *istihsan* go back in their origin to reason; to the study of the reasons behind the rules, to the fulfillment of the interests of the people in their social life and to abiding as closely as possible with absolute good and the dictates of justice and equity (S. Mahmassani, 2000). However, the study of the reasons behind the rules can only be applied to transaction matters and not to religious observances (*ta`abudiyyah*). Therefore, any action which benefits people is considered legal and lawful. To some extent, majority of Muslim jurists agree that the governing measure of all that lawful or unlawful thing or action is the benefit or the harm which stems from it (Muhammad Abu Zahrah, 2001; S. Mahmassani, 2000). This is the argument which the scholars of Maliki school of law use in advocating this principle.

On the other hand, many scholars from Shafii School of law do not accept the *masalih mursalah* as one of the sources in Islamic legal rules due to the fact that interest varies according to different degree of people, time and place. Furthermore, as *maslahah* or

interest is a subjective matter, it will open a back door to the exploitation of this principle when people simply cling on it tenaciously to fulfill their unlawful desire. Generally speaking, we can conclude that scholars from Shafii School of law reject *maslahah* or interest as a determining factor in legal ruling. However, al-Ghazali in his book *al-Mustasfa* as cited by Wahbah Zuhaili (1998) only sanctioned the application if the *maslahah* to be served was absolutely essential. He also put strict guidelines in accepting this principle as a method of deriving *hukm* in Islam.

4.2 Guidelines in adopting the principle of *masalih mursalah* as a source of *hukm*:

Many Muslim scholars have set up guidelines and attached several conditions that should be fulfilled in applying this principle in transaction matters, which can be concluded as;

First: the interest should be in harmony with the spirit of the *shari`ah* or in other words it should be in line with the general objectives of the *shari`ah*. Furthermore, it should not be in conflict with any of its accepted sources.

Second: the interest involved in the case should be accepted by majority of scholars and the interest must be reasonable, rational, and logical.

Third: the application of this principle is to avoid hardships and difficulties.

Fourth: There are three types of *maslahah* according to the priorities; *daruriyat*, *hajiyyat* and *tahsinat*. The interest should be one of the essential (*daruriyat*) or the necessary (*hajiyyat*) and not of the perfectionist (luxury, *tahsinat*) type. *Daruriyat* includes the preservation of religion, property, life, reason and lineage as mentioned earlier. Meanwhile, the necessary type or *hajiyyat* appertains to the betterment of living. People depend on this *maslahah* as it will release people from hardship. For example; in the case of concession granted to travelers to break the fast during the daytime of Ramadan. On the other hand, the perfectionist type (*tahsinat*) refers to "decoration and improvement" which make life more cheerful and comfortable.

Fifth: Other ulama' from Maliki and Hanbali Schools of Law put a condition (Wahbah Zuhaili, 1998) that the application of this principle should be based on the objective to promote the welfare and the interest of the public and not only the interest of certain group of people or individuals. This is due to the fact that the aim of *shari`ah* is to protect the interest of the whole mankind.

Fifth: the case under review should be one pertaining to matters of transactions so that interest involved in it may be construed upon grounds of reason. The case should not be one relating to religious observances (Mahmassani 1983).

It is clear to us that the guidelines in the application of the principle of *masalih mursalah* to legitimize an action is an evidence that our previous Muslim scholars had taken serious effort to determine that this principle cannot be simply violated by whatever means. Examining the reasons behind why the manufacturers tend to modified gene as they claim to feed hunger people seem to be a mere political and economical basis. Since they are licensing the technology to the farmers through their 'terminator gene' technology, it will make farmers especially in less developed countries loss their autonomy and consequently become dependent to such GM companies. The world agro economic will at last be on the hands of GM food producers. Even though the GM companies have their own intellectual property rights (IPR) over the foods, plants and seeds, this is truly violated the *Shari`ah* principle that we should help the need and the hunger without any

profit proposes behind. Via GM food technology, the genes can be manipulated to enable staple crops to grow in marginal condition, but the farmers still have to purchase the seeds from the conglomerates. Further more, though nearly 800 million people go to bed hungry every night as stated by World Bank Vice President for Sustainable Development, Ian Johnson but there is still a strong argument that the problem of starvation in African countries is mainly caused by inefficient domestic management of food and political reasons rather than scarcity of it! Furthermore, the GM technology in Islam is permissible under the principle of *maslahah* provided that the *maslahah* is to serve the interest of the public rather than to serve the interest of certain group of people. Examining the reasons why some manufacturers license the technology to farmers, it is clear that it is only to protect their own interest. Even though they do have right over their technology that recognized by Islam, but there is still a fear of the *terminator* gene will be passed to native plants, hence making them cannot grow naturally. Therefore, this *terminator* gene contradicts the Shari`ah principle.

5.0 Concluding Remarks:

To conclude, many experiments had shown that GM food is safe for consumption and the technology does not contradict Islamic tenets on food intake. Furthermore, GM food has been altered genetically in order to improve trace element nutrients and is well balanced. However, there is a strong need for labeling GM food products as the consumer's trust should not be abused especially when the processing involves inserting animal genes for vegetarians and pig genes for Jews and Muslims. Furthermore, there is still a major concern considering the fact that mixing genes from radically different organisms is ethically wrong. Therefore the manufacturer should be more responsible and not let the customers end up eating food without knowing it contain GM ingredients.

It is important to note that Islam imposes no restrictions on biotechnology research, rather considers it as an *`ibadah* (act of worship) and a means of better living even though some religions might render the technology of GM food as an acceptable intervention in God's creation or so called as 'playing God'. However, Islam advocates that the door of scientific research should not be left wide open without proper *Shari`ah* examination and all possible precautions should be taken to ensure public interests are protected and the research is in line with the *Shari`ah*.

Bibliography:

- Al-Bukhari. Sahih Al-Bukhari. (trans). Muhammad Muhsin Khan. 1984. *The Translation of The Meaning of Sahih Al-Bukhari*. New Delhi: Kitab Bhavan. Vol. I-IX
- Al-Qur`an (Trans.) `Abdullah Yusuf Ali. 2000. *The Holy Qur`an, Original Arabic Text with English Translation & Selected Commentaries*. Kuala Lumpur: Saba Islamic Media.
- Al-Qur`an.

- Al-Razi, F.M. 1997. *Al-Mahsul fi `Ilmi Usul al-Fiqh*. Al-`Alwani, T.J. (ed.). Vol.6. Beirut: Al-Resalah Publishers.
- An-Nawawi, M. n.d. *Al-Minhaj Syarh Sahih Muslim bin Al-Hajjaj*. Mansoura: Maktabah Al-Iman.
- Anonymous. Sep. 2004. "EU Approved First GM Seeds for Planting". *Chemical Market Reporter*. Vol. 266. Iss. 8: Sep. p.3.
- Bo Lonnerdal. May 2003. "Genetically Modified Plants for Improved Trace Element Nutrition1". *The Journal of Nutrition*. Vol. 133. pg. S1490
- I. Doi, A.Rahman. 1984. *Shari`ah: The Islamic Law*. Kuala Lumpur: A.S. Noordeen.
- Madigan, K. April 2003. "Risky Business-Financial Risks that Genetically Engineered Foods Pose to Kraft Foods, Inc. and Shareholders". *National as You Sow Foundation*. www.asyousow.org
- Mahmassani, S. 2000. *Falsafat al-Tashri` fi al-Islam*. Farhat J. Ziadeh. (ed.). Kuala Lumpur: The Open Press.
- Maria del Carmen Fernandez Diaz, Maria de los Reyes Corripio Gil-Delgado. 2003. "Is Intellectual Property Right Legislation Constraining the Agri-Food Biotechnology Center in the European Union?" (Research). *The Sociology of Science and Technology Conference (SSTNET) of the European Sociology Association (ESA)*. Murcia, Spain. 24 September.
- Moise, E. April 1999. "Intellectual property: rights and wrong". *OECD observer*. <http://www.oecdobserver.org/news/>
- Muhammad Abu Zahra. 1997. *Usul al-Fiqh*. al-Qahirah: Dar al-Fikr al-`Arabi.
- Muhammad Abu Zahra. 2001. *The Four Imams-The Lives and Teaching of their Founders*. Aisha Bewley. (trans.). London: Dar Al Taqwa Ltd.
- Mushaddad Hasbullah. 2003. *Al-Jarahah At-tajmiliyyah- Ru'yah Islamiyyah* (Master thesis). International Islamic University Malaysia.
- n.a. n.d. "Islamic View on Cloning". *Islam Medicine Centre*. <http://www.islamset.com/healnews/cloning>
- n.a. "Genetically Modified Food". *Brainy Encyclopaedia 2004*. <http://www.brainyencyclopedia.com/encyclopedia>
- n.a. "GM foods and Genetical Engineering from an Islamic POV". <http://www.jabbar.free-online.co.uk/index02.html>
- n.a. 14 August 2000. "Pros and Cons of GM Food". *ThinkQuest 2000*. <http://www.thinkquest.org>
- n.a. 1999. "The History of GM Foods" *The Independent*. UK. 12 October. <http://www.global-reality.com/biotech>
- n.a. 2003. *Monsanto*. <http://www.monsanto.co.uk>
- n.a. 2004. "Genetically Modified Foods; Four California counties will vote to ban biotech food". *Obesity, Fitness & Wellness Week*. 7 Aug. pg.475
- n.a. 26 January 2001. "Pros, Cons of Modified Food". *Wired News*. <http://www.wired.com/news/technology>
- n.a. 4 April 2001. "Genetically Modified Food: Pros and Cons". *Society Religion and Technology Project- Church of Scotland*. <http://www.srtp.org.uk/gmfood1.htm>
- n.a. 8 April 1998. "Human Cloning-The Religious and Ethical Debate". *University of Virginia Computer Science*. <http://www.cs.virginia.edu/>

- n.a. April 2004. "Biotechnology". *Global Trade Negotiation Homepage-Center for International Development at Harvard University*.
<http://www.cid.harvard.edu/cidtrade>
- n.a. January 2003. "GM Food Myths & Facts". *Biotechinfo*. <http://www.biotechinfo>.
- n.a. "GM foods and Genetical Engineering from an Islamic POV".
<http://www.jabbar.free-online.co.uk/index02.html>
- Prendergast, K. 6 June 2004. "GM Food Aid Controversy Erupts Again in Africa".
Islam On Line. www.islamonline.net
- Saeed A.Khan. 2003. "Neocolonialism in the Muslim World through Genetically Modified Foods: The Empire Strikes Back?"(Paper). *The AMSS 32nd Annual Conference*. Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana. 26-28 September.
- Sano, Qoutoub Moustapha. 2000. *Concorance of Jurisprudence Fundamentals Terminology*. Damsyiq: Dar Al-Fikr.
- Seyyed Hossein Nasr. 1968. *Man and Nature- The Spiritual Crisis of Modern Man*. London: Unwin Paperbacks.
- Wahbah al-Zuhaili. 1998. *Usul Fiqh Al-Islami*. Damascus: Darul Fikr. Vol.I-II.
- Wahbah al-Zuhaili. 1997. *Al-Fiqh Al-Islami wa Adillatuh*. Damascus: Darul Fikr. Vol. I-XI
- Wensinck, A.J. 1943. *Al-Mu`jam Al-Mufahras Li Alfaz Al-Hadith An-Nabawi*. Leiden: E.J.Brill. Vol.I-VIII
- Zaidan, Abdul Karim. 1998. *al-Wajiz fi Usul al-Fiqh*. Beirut: Al-Resalah Publishers.